IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 14TH AUGUST 2007 / 23RD SRAVANA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 1099 of 2007(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.14150/2007 Dated 02/05/2007

.....

PETITIONER:

M/S. MICHELLE OPTICAL INDUSTRIES
(FIRM REP. BY ITS PARTNER B. VINOD PONNAN),
INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, PAPPANAMCODE,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

BY ADV. SRI.ANIL SIVARAMAN

RESPONDENT:

N. SATHEESAN
(AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER & RECOVERY OFFICER
EMPLOYEES' PROVIDENT FUND ORGANISATION,
BHAVISHYANIDHI BHAVAN, P.B.NO.1016,
P.O. PATTOM PALACE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 004.

BY ADV. SRI.N.N. SUGUNAPALAN, SC, P.F.
SMT.T.N.GIRIJA, SC,EPF ORGANISATION

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 14/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

T.R. Ramachandran Nair, J.

- -

C.O.(C).NO.1099-S

- -

Dated this the 14th day of August, 2007

JUDGMENT

As per Annexure IV judgment dated 2.5.2007, this court had directed the first respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P9 in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. The Contempt of Court Case has been filed alleging that without complying with the directions in the above judgment, the Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner and Recovery Officer, Employees Provident Fund Organisation who is the respondent herein, passed an order dated 30.4.2007 rejecting the representation that was directed to be disposed of by this court. A true copy of that order is produced as Annexure V. Again the petitioner filed a review petition which was rejected as per Annexure VII.

2. When the matter came up for hearing on 26.7.2007, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent submitted that the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner himself had issued notice for hearing of the matter afresh and accordingly it was adjourned. Today, it is reported that an order has been passed on 8.8.2007 by the Regional Provident Fund

Commissioner, afresh in compliance with the directions in the judgment of this court. It is also reported that the orders produced herein as Annexures V and VII stand withdrawn.

Therefore, the C.C.C. I

s closed recording the same. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 8.8.2007, he will have to seek appropriate remedies.

(T.R. Ramachandran Nair, Judge.)

kav/